Doctoral Training School *Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation: new trends in theories and experiments* April 15-26, 2024 — Ecole de Physique des Houches (France)

Interfaces in disordered systems and directed polymer

I. Introduction

2. Disordered elastic systems: Recipe

- 2.1 Observables: geometrical fluctuations and center-of-mass dynamics
- 2.2 Model: Hamiltonian, Langevin dynamics, dynamical action

3. Disordered elastic systems: Statics

- 3.1 Roughness function & Structure factor
- 3.2 Standard' Flory/Imry-Ma scaling argument
- 3.3 Without disorder: thermal roughness
- 3.4 With disorder (perturbative approach): Larkin model
- 3.5 With disorder: roughness regimes and crossover scales, GVM roughness
- 3.6 Focus on the ID interface: mapping to the I+I Directed Polymer. Connections to the ID KPZ
- 3.7 Scaling analysis : power counting versus physical scalings

4. Disordered elastic systems: Dynamics

- 4.1 Velocity-force characteristics
- 4.2 Example of model reduction: effective ID interface starting from a 2D Ginzburg-Laudau description
- 4.3 Creep regime: how to recover 1/4 creep exponent from 2/3 KPZ exponent
- 4.4 Fast-flow regime

5. Concluding remarks

Les Houches (France) – April 15-26, 2024

Doctoral Training School *Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation: new trends in theories and experiments* April 15-26, 2024 — Ecole de Physique des Houches (France)

Interfaces in disordered systems and directed polymer

Elisabeth Agoritsas

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Disordered elastic systems: Recipe
- 3. Disordered elastic systems: Statics
- 4. Disordered elastic systems: Dynamics
 - 5. Concluding remarks

4.1 Velocity-force characteristics

4.2 Example of **model reduction**: effective 1D interface starting from a 2D Ginzburg-Laudau description

4.3 Creep regime: how to recover 1/4 creep exponent from 2/3 KPZ exponent4.4 Fast-flow regime

Observable 2 — Steady-state velocity-force characteristics



Focus on low temperature T / small force f / large system size L: creep prediction?

 $v_T(F) \sim e^{-\frac{U_c}{T} \left(\frac{F_c}{F}\right)^{\mu}}$

ID interface, short-range elasticity (elastic limit), random-bond disorder

 $\mu = \frac{d - 2 + 2\zeta}{2 - \zeta} \mathop{\underset{(d=1)}{\overset{(\zeta=2/3)}{=}}}_{(d=1)} = \frac{1}{4}$

In ferromagnetic domain walls: S. Lemerle et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>80</u>, 849 (1998).

Observable 2 — Steady-state velocity-force characteristics



Ferroelectric domain walls in thin film (e.g. Pb(Zr_{0.2}Ti_{0.8})O₃)

P. Paruch & J. Guyonnet, Comptes Rendus Physique 14, 667 (2013).

Imbibition fronts, fractures, fluid invasion in porous medium, etc. via DES modelling

Non-exhaustive biblio. — Numerical studies of DES

Numerical studies of the quenched Edwards-Wilkinson elastic line [non-exhaustive!]

- A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, & T. Giamarchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>94</u>, 047002 (2005): "Creep Motion of an Elastic String in a Random Potential"
- A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, & T. Giamarchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>95</u>, 180604 (2005): "Nonequilibrium Relaxation of an Elastic String in a Random Potential"
- A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, T. Giamarchi, & W. Krauth, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. <u>97</u>, 057001 (2006): "Dynamics below the Depinning Threshold in Disordered Elastic Systems"
- A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, T. Giamarchi, & W. Krauth, *Phys. Rev. B* <u>79</u>, 184207 (2009): "Creep dynamics of elastic manifolds via exact transition pathways"



- Review: E. Ferrero, S. Bustingorry, A. B. Kolton, & A. Rosso, Comptes Rendus Physique <u>14</u>, 641 (2013):
 "Numerical approaches on driven elastic interfaces in random media"
- Distribution of critical force (highest barrier):
- C. Bolech & A. Rosso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>93</u>, 125701 (2004): "Universal Statistics of the Critical Depinning Force of Elastic Systems in Random Media"

Finite-size fluctuations of the velocity:

- A. B. Kolton, S. Bustingorry, E. Ferrero, & A. Rosso, *J. Stat. Mech.* <u>2013</u>, P12004 (2013): "Uniqueness of the thermodynamic limit for driven disordered elastic interfaces"
- Avalanches organisation & statistics from creep to depinning:

E. Ferrero, L. Foini, T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, & A. Rosso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>118</u>, 147208 (2017): "Spatio-temporal patterns in ultra-slow domain wall creep dynamics"

Here focus on 'quenched Edwards-Wilkinson' (qEW):

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{DES}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{el}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dis}}$$

 $\Delta(x) = -D R''(x)$

$$\gamma \partial_t u(z,t) = c \partial_z^2 u(z,t) + \frac{F_{\text{dis}}(z,u(z))}{F_{\text{dis}}(z,u(z))} + f_{\text{ext}} + \eta_{\text{thermal}}(z,t)$$

- Gaussian noise of zero mean and 2-pt correlator: $\langle \eta_{\rm th}(z,t)\eta_{\rm th}(z',t')\rangle = 2\gamma T \delta(z-z')\delta(t-t')$
- \Im Random force: $F_{\mathrm{dis}}(z,x) = -\partial_x V(z,x)$

$$\overline{V(z,x)V(z',x')} = D\delta(z-z')R(x-x') \qquad \int dx \,\Delta(x) = 0 \quad \text{random-bond (RB)}$$

$$\overline{F_{\text{dis}}(z,x)F_{\text{dis}}(z',x')} = \delta(z-z')\,\Delta(x-x') \qquad \int dx \,\Delta(x) > 0 \quad \text{random-field (RF)}$$

Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) dynamical action: $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D}u_{zt} \int \mathcal{D}\hat{u}_{zt} \mathcal{O}[u, \hat{u}] e^{-S[u, \hat{u}]}$

$$\begin{split} S[u,\hat{u}] &= \int_{zt} i \hat{u}_{zt} (\gamma \partial_t - c \partial_z^2) u_{zt} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{ztt'} i \hat{u}_{zt} \, i \hat{u}_{zt'} \, \Delta(u_{zt} - u_{zt'}) - f_{\text{ext}} \int_{zt} i \hat{u}_{zt} \\ &+ \gamma T \int_{zt} i \hat{u}_{zt} \, i \hat{u}_{zt} \\ \end{split}$$
Force-force correlator = central object for FRG

Experimental realisation: moving ferromagnetic domain wall

Here focus on 'quenched Edwards-Wilkinson' (qEW):

$$\gamma \partial_t u(z,t) = c \partial_z^2 u(z,t) + F_{\text{dis}}(z,u(z)) + f_{\text{ext}} + \eta_{\text{thermal}}(z,t)$$

V. Repain et al. @ Orsay (Pt/Co/Pt)



Avalanches organisation & statistics from creep to depinning

E. Ferrero, L. Foini, T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, & A. Rosso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>118</u>, 147208 (2017): "Spatio-temporal patterns in ultra-slow domain wall creep dynamics", *cf. Figs.* 1&3.

Creep $(F \ll F_c)$ **Depinning** $(F \leq F_c)$ (μ) S_{eve} displacement S_{clust} Seg position (x)position (x)

Avalanches organisation & statistics from creep to depinning

E. Ferrero, L. Foini, T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, & A. Rosso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>118</u>, 147208 (2017): "Spatio-temporal patterns in ultra-slow domain wall creep dynamics", *cf. Figs. 1* & 3.



Let's start from a 2D Ginzburg-Landau description of the magnetisation in thin films **[no disorder]**



Let's start from a 2D Ginzburg-Landau description of the magnetisation in thin films **[no disorder]**



Let's start from a 2D Ginzburg-Landau description of the magnetisation in thin films [no disorder]



Let's start from a 2D Ginzburg-Landau description of the magnetisation in thin films [no disorder]







Let's allow for fluctuations of the barrier height in the local double-well potentials [with RB disorder]



Let's allow for fluctuations of the barrier height in the local double-well potentials [with RB disorder]

$$V(\varphi) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{\delta}{4}\varphi^4$$
$$V_{\zeta}(\varphi(\mathbf{r})) = V(\varphi(\mathbf{r}))[1 + \epsilon\zeta(\mathbf{r})] \qquad \overline{\zeta(\mathbf{r}_i)\zeta(\mathbf{r}_j)} = \delta^2(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$$



Increasing temperature leads to overhangs \Rightarrow N. Caballero & T. Giamarchi, arXiv:2211.12258

Quasistatic 'creep' regime - Standard scaling argument

- Focus on low temperature T / small force f / large system size L: creep prediction?
- Scaling argument initially presented in
 L. B. Ioffe & V. M. Vinokur, J. Phys. C <u>20</u>, 6149 (1987)
 T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>64</u>, 2454 (1990)
- Quasistatic assumption: in order to move a segment of length L of the interface, we can estimate the energy barrier to cross from the equilibrium free energy.

Typical transverse displacement deduced

from the roughness at equilibrium:

$$u(L) \sim L^{\zeta}$$

- Elastic (free-)energy associated to this displacement: $E_{\rm el}(L) \sim L^d \cdot c \frac{u(L)^2}{L^2} \sim L^{d-2+2\zeta}$
- Under an external force, corresponding (free-)energy: E_f(L) ~ fL^d u(L) ~ fL^{d+\zeta}
- Minimum size L for which it is worth to overcome a barrier, thus depends on the force:

$$E_{\rm el}(L_{\rm opt}) = E_f(L_{\rm opt}) \Leftrightarrow \left[L_{\rm opt}(f) \sim f^{-(2-\zeta)} \right] \Leftrightarrow \left[E_{\rm el}(L_{\rm opt}(f)) \sim f^{-\mu} \right]$$

Mean steady-state velocity controlled by the typical 'largest barrier', which controls the mean first passage time (MFPT). Under an Arrhenius assumption:

$$v_T(F) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{T}E_{\rm el}(L_{\rm opt}(F))} \sim e^{-\frac{U_c}{T}(\frac{F_c}{F})^{\mu}} \qquad \mu = \frac{d-2+2\zeta}{2-\zeta} \stackrel{(\zeta=2/3)}{=}$$

P. Chauve, T. Giamarchi, P. Le Doussal, *Phys. Rev. B* <u>62</u>, 6241 (2000) [very nice intro as well!]

V(x,z)

 R_V

E. Ferrero, L. Foini, T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, Annu. Rev. Cond. Math. Phys. <u>12</u>, 111 (2021) [recent review]

Quasistatic 'creep' regime — Numerical test for the assumptions for scaling

E. Ferrero, L. Foini, T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, & A. Rosso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* <u>118</u>, 147208 (2017): "Spatio-temporal patterns in ultra-slow domain wall creep dynamics", *cf. Figs. 1&3.*



- New numerical protocol, allowing to reach much smaller forces than before $(\Rightarrow \text{`creep'!})$
- Avalanche statistics & spatio-temporal patterns, from 'creep' to 'depinning'
- Possible to test the assumptions used in standard scaling arguments!
- In particular: broad distribution of energy barriers, with force-dependent cutoff being the 'bottleneck' governing the mean velocity in the steady state (hence the 'creep' formula)

Quasistatic 'creep' regime — Regime of validity of the creep prediction

 t_f

 $(0,y_i)$



E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

Quasistatic 'creep' regime - Model reduction?

Focus on low temperature T / small force f / large system size L: creep prediction?

 $v_T(F) \sim e^{-\frac{U_c}{T} \left(\frac{F_c}{F}\right)^{\mu}}$

ID interface, short-range elasticity (elastic limit), random-bond disorder

$$u = \frac{d - 2 + 2\zeta}{2 - \zeta} \stackrel{(\zeta = 2/3)}{=} \frac{1}{4}$$

ID elastic interface in a 2D disordered energy landscape



E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

Quasistatic 'creep' regime - Model reduction?

Focus on low temperature T / small force f / large system size L: creep prediction?

 $v_T(F) \sim e^{-\frac{U_c}{T} \left(\frac{F_c}{F}\right)^{\mu}}$

ID interface, short-range elasticity (elastic limit), random-bond disorder

$$\mu = \frac{d - 2 + 2\zeta}{2 - \zeta} \stackrel{(\zeta = 2/3)}{=} \frac{1}{4}$$

ID elastic interface in a 2D disordered energy landscape



Why revisiting scaling arguments on effective 2 DOFs model?

- Effective 2 DOFs model allows to remove arbitrariness in scaling arguments in ID picture
- Explicit implementation of the 'quasistatic' assumption in the language of the tilted directed polymer
- Solution Use refined scaling properties of the effective quasistatic disorder free-energy $\bar{F}_V^f(t_f,y)$
- $\ensuremath{\stackrel{\frown}{=}}\xspace$ Saddle-point argument at vanishing force $(f\to 0)$ for the creep regime
- Validity range of assumptions / creep prediction:
 - Finite system size at vanishing force (dimensional crossover)?
 - Intermediate regime at larger forces?
 - Low-temperature dependence?

E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, et al., J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

Quasistatic 'creep' regime — Effective model with two degrees of freedom

Overdamped Langevin dynamics of the full interface:

$$\gamma \partial_{\tau} y(t,\tau) = c \partial_{t}^{2} y - \partial_{y} V(t,y(t,\tau)) + f + \eta(t,\tau)$$

Thermal noise: $\langle \eta(t', \tau')\eta(t, \tau) \rangle = 2\gamma T \delta(t'-t)\delta(\tau'-\tau)$ Quenched disorder: $\overline{V(t', y')V(t, y)} = D\delta(t'-t)R_{\xi}(y'-y)$

Effective dynamics of a 'particle' of coordinates given by the extremities of the interface segment (y_i, y_f) :

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{\gamma}\partial_{ au}y_{\mathrm{i}}(au) \ &= \ -\partial_{y_{\mathrm{i}}}F_V^f(t_{\mathrm{f}},y_{\mathrm{f}}|0,y_{\mathrm{i}}) + \sqrt{2 ilde{\gamma} ilde{T}}\, ilde{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}(au) \ & ilde{\gamma}\partial_{ au}y_{\mathrm{f}}(au) \ &= \ -\partial_{y_{\mathrm{f}}}F_V^f(t_{\mathrm{f}},y_{\mathrm{f}}|0,y_{\mathrm{i}}) + \sqrt{2 ilde{\gamma} ilde{T}}\, ilde{\eta}_{\mathrm{f}}(au) \end{aligned}$$

Decomposition of the quasistatic DP free-energy:

$$F_V^f(t_f, y_f | 0, y_i) = \frac{c}{2t_f} (y_f - y_i)^2 - \frac{ft_f}{2} (y_f + y_i) + \bar{F}_V^f(t_f, y_f | 0, y_i) + \text{const}(t_f)$$

Elasticity Driving force Effective disorder

- Estimation of mean steady-velocity through the mean first passage time (MFPT)
- Use known scalings of the static disorder free-energy (from KPZ!)

E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).



Quasistatic 'creep' regime — Summary of the assumptions & their implications

Low temperature $T : \blacksquare$ Allows for the Arrhenius MFPT expression, based on the instanton description, as long as $T \ll U_c (f_c/f)^{1/4}$ $T_c = (\xi cD)^{1/3}$

Solution Low-temperature Larkin length $\mathcal{L}_c \stackrel{(T \ll T_c)}{\sim} \frac{T_c^5}{cD^2} \sim \xi^{5/3}$

At higher T: $\mathcal{L}_c \stackrel{(T \gg T_c)}{\sim} \frac{T^5}{cD^2}$

Contributions fluctuations around instanton & other transition paths

Large system size L : Possible to have $0 < \mathcal{L}_c < L_{opt}(f) < L$ so that MFPT expression dominated by Brownian scaling, allowing for rescaling free-energy $(f^{-1/4})$

Small force f:

Small velocity \Rightarrow quasistatic description with static free-energy & tilt Rescaling & saddle-point argument $f \to 0 \Rightarrow L_{opt}(f) \sim f^{-3/4}$ Backward transitions over largest barriers can safely be neglected **KPZ** scalings - Creep t_f $L_{\rm opt}(f)$ \mathcal{L}_c 0 Linear response Creep Corrections to creep (TAFF) $(0, y_i)$

E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

*J*max

[TECHNICAL] Mean first passage time (MFPT) & mean steady-state velocity

$$y = a\hat{y} \qquad t = b\hat{t} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{KPZ roughness} \\ \text{scaling} \end{array} \qquad a = \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}b^{\frac{2}{3}} \qquad b = (c\tilde{D})^{\frac{1}{4}}f^{-\frac{3}{4}} \qquad a = \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{cf}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}$$

The largest barrier along the instanton starts from a minimum $(\bar{y}'', \delta y'')$ and ends in a saddle point $(y', \delta y')$ of (36) at the top of the barrier (the top of the barrier is located at local maximum along the instanton, which lies itself at a saddle of the effective potential). Generalising the MFPT expression for the particle (27) by replacing the difference of 1D potential $[V^f(y') - V^f(y'')]$ by the difference of effective potential (36) between the 2D coordinates $(\bar{y}'', \delta y'')$ and $(y', \delta y')$, the passage time writes

$$\tau_{1}(t_{\rm f}, Y; V) \sim \frac{\gamma}{T} e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left\{ \frac{c}{2t_{\rm f}} \left[(\delta y'')^{2} - (\delta y')^{2} \right] - ft_{\rm f} \left(\bar{y}'' - \bar{y}' \right) \right\}}}{\times e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left\{ \bar{F}_{V}^{f} \left(t_{\rm f}, \bar{y}'' + \delta y''/2 | 0, \bar{y}'' - \delta y''/2 \right) - \bar{F}_{V}^{f} \left(t_{\rm f}, \bar{y}' + \delta y'/2 | 0, \bar{y}' - \delta y''/2 \right) \right\}}$$

Arrhenius MFPT:

thermally activated

Explicitly

quasistatics

 $L \gg \mathcal{L}_c$

One can now evaluate the disorder-averaged MFPT $\bar{\tau}_1(f)$ of the interface by integrating (39) over every possible length $t_f \in [0,L]$ of an interface segment, assuming that $L \gg \mathscr{L}_c$ with \mathscr{L}_c the lengthscale above which the Brownian rescaling (42) is valid (\mathscr{L}_c will be identified later on as the 'Larkin length', see Sec. 5.3). Using the rescaling (47), one has

$$\begin{split} \bar{\tau}_{1}(f) &\stackrel{(L > \mathscr{L}_{c})}{=} \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} dt_{f} \,\overline{\tau_{1}(t_{f};V)} = \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{\mathscr{L}_{c}} dt_{f} \,\overline{\tau_{1}(t_{f};V)} + \frac{1}{L} \int_{\mathscr{L}_{c}}^{L} dt_{f} \,\overline{\tau_{1}(t_{f};V)} \\ &\stackrel{(L \gg \mathscr{L}_{c})}{\sim} \frac{1}{\hat{L}} \int_{\mathscr{L}_{c}}^{\hat{L}} d\hat{t}_{f} \,\mathbb{E}_{\hat{V}} e^{-\frac{1}{T}f^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{\tilde{D}^{3}}{c}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\{ \frac{(j''_{f} - j''_{1})^{2} - (j'_{f} - j'_{1})^{2}}{2\hat{t}_{f}} - \hat{t}_{f} \frac{(j''_{f} + j''_{1}) - (j'_{f} + j'_{1})}{2} \\ &\quad + \hat{F}_{\hat{V}}^{f}(\hat{t}_{f}, j''_{f} | 0, j''_{1}; \xi/a) - \hat{F}_{\hat{V}}^{f}(\hat{t}_{f}, j'_{f} | 0, j''_{1}; \xi/a)} \right\} \end{split}$$

E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

$$y = a\hat{y} \qquad t = b\hat{t} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{KPZ roughness} \\ \text{scaling} \end{array} \quad a = \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}b^{\frac{2}{3}} \qquad b = (c\tilde{D})^{\frac{1}{4}}f^{-\frac{3}{4}} \qquad a = \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{cf}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}$$

Since all the dimensioned parameters have been factored out in this exponent, the saddle-point is reached at a value $\hat{t}_{\rm f}^*$ of $\hat{t}_{\rm f}$ which is independent of the dimensioned parameters of the problem, and in particular independent of f. We emphasise that this construction requires the system to be large enough $(L \gg \mathscr{L}_{\rm c})$ in order that (*i*) the KPZ scaling (44) is meaningful for a large range of segment length $t_{\rm f}$, (*ii*) we can neglect the contribution of $t_{\rm f} \in [0, \mathscr{L}_{\rm c}]$ in the MFPT, so we can self-consistently assume that the saddle point is reached at $\hat{t}_{\rm f}^* \in [\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{\rm c}, \hat{L}]$. We refer to Sec. 5.3 for the corresponding regime of validity. We finally obtain

$$\bar{\tau}_{1}(f) \sim \mathbb{E}_{\hat{V}} e^{-\frac{1}{T}f^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{\tilde{D}^{3}}{c}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\{ \frac{(\hat{y}_{f}''-\hat{y}_{i}'')^{2}-(\hat{y}_{f}'-\hat{y}_{i}')^{2}}{2\hat{t}_{f}^{\star}} - \hat{t}_{f}^{\star} \frac{(\hat{y}_{f}''+\hat{y}_{i}'')-(\hat{y}_{f}'+\hat{y}_{i}')}{2} + \hat{F}_{\hat{V}}^{f}(\hat{t}_{f}^{\star},\hat{y}_{f}''|0,\hat{y}_{i}'';\xi/a) - \hat{F}_{\hat{V}}^{f}(\hat{t}_{f}^{\star},\hat{y}_{f}'|0,\hat{y}_{i}';\xi/a) \right\}}$$

Creep form of the mean steady-state velocity: $f \rightarrow 0$ saddle point with KPZ scalings

$$1/\bar{\tau}_1(f)$$
 $\bar{v}(f) \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{U_{\mathrm{c}}}{T}\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}}}{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Delta \hat{F}^{\star}$

$$L_{\text{opt}}(f) = (c\tilde{D})^{\frac{1}{4}} f^{-\frac{3}{4}}$$

E. Agoritsas, R. García-García, V. Lecomte, L. Truskinovsky, & D. Vandembroucq, J. Stat. Phys. <u>164</u>, 1394 (2016).

@Large velocity: 'fast flow' regime



$$\gamma \partial_t u(z,t) = c \partial_z^2 u(z,t) + F_{\text{dis}}(z,u(z)) + f_{\text{ext}} + \eta_{\text{thermal}}(z,t)$$

• Large force \Rightarrow large steady-state velocity for the center of mass

 $u(z,t) = v(t-t_0) + \delta u(z,t)$

$$F_{\rm dis}(z, u(z, t)) = F_{\rm dis}(v(t - t_0) + \delta u(z, t)) \approx F_{\rm dis}(v(t - t_0))$$

$$\overline{F_{\rm dis}(v(t-t_0))F_{\rm dis}(v(t'-t_0))} = \Delta(v(t-t')) \begin{cases} = \frac{1}{v^3} \Delta_{\xi/v}(t-t') \quad (\text{`RB'}) \\ = \frac{1}{v} \Delta_{\xi/v}(t-t') \quad (\text{`RF'}) \end{cases} \qquad \boxed{F_c \sim \frac{c\xi}{L_c^2}}$$

P. Chauve, T. Giamarchi, P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B <u>62</u>, 6241 (2000) [cf. Appendices B-C]

Les Houches (France) – April 15-26, 2024

Doctoral Training School *Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation: new trends in theories and experiments* April 15-26, 2024 — Ecole de Physique des Houches (France)

Interfaces in disordered systems and directed polymer

Elisabeth Agoritsas

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Disordered elastic systems: Recipe
- 3. Disordered elastic systems: Statics
- 4. Disordered elastic systems: Dynamics
- 5. Concluding remarks



Summary (beyond the specific KPZ connections)

- Generic framework of DES systems with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{DES}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{el}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dis}}$
 - \Rightarrow Study of disorder-conditioned features of interfaces (statics & dynamics)



Focus on two features: roughness & velocity-force characteristics

& other features accessible through DES modelling such as

- $\ensuremath{\stackrel{\circ}{=}}$ Velocity fluctuations in the steady-state regime $\ensuremath{\stackrel{\circ}{=}}$ Roughness prefactors $B(r)\sim r^{2\zeta}$, crossover lengthscales, other regimes, ...
- $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ Whole distribution of geometrical fluctuations $\mathcal{P}(\Delta u(r))$, beyond $B(r) = \overline{\langle \Delta u(r)^2 \rangle}$
- Avalanches statistics & spatio-temporal patterns
- A-C dynamics

Investigated via

- numerics on qEW (also quenched KPZ!)
 - scaling analysis (standard Flory vs our approach)
 - Gaussian Variational Method (GVM) computation
 - special mappings when available (such as KPZ for the static fluctuations)
 - functional RG (perturbative in 4-d, non-perturbative)
 - toy models / mean-field approximations

Numerics: asymptotic 2-pt correlator of disorder free-energy

$$\bar{R}_{sat}(y) \approx \bar{R}(\infty, y) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_y^2 \bar{C}(\infty, y)$$

$$= \text{Amplitude of the correlator / Maximum value:}$$

$$T \approx 0$$

$$\bar{D}_{\infty} \sim \frac{cD}{T_c}$$

$$\bar{R}_{\bar{\xi}} \approx ??$$

$$\bar{R}_{\bar{\xi}} \approx ??$$

$$\bar{R}_{\bar{\xi}} \approx ??$$

$$\bar{D}_{0}(T,\xi) = f(T,\xi) \frac{cD}{T}$$

$$= \text{Ormutian of the interpolating parameter:}$$

$$\bar{D}_{\infty}(T,\xi) = f(T,\xi) \frac{cD}{T}$$

$$\bar{C}_{0}(T,\xi) = f(T,\xi) \frac{cD}{T}$$

$$= \text{Ormutian of the interpolating parameter:}$$

$$f^6 \propto (T/T_c)^6 (1-f) \quad \& \quad T_c(\xi) = (\xi cD)^{1/3}$$

$$\bar{C}_{0}(T,\xi) \sim (\tilde{D}_{\infty}/c^2)^{2/3}$$

E. Agoritsas, V. Lecomte & T. Giamarchi, *Phys. Rev. E* <u>87</u>, 042406 & 062405 (2013).

Roughness regimes & characteristic crossover scales



About ~50 years of literature in theory/experiments/numerics! \Rightarrow See reviews with refs therein



- E. Agoritsas, V. Lecomte, T. Giamarchi, *Physica B* <u>407</u>, 1725 (2012), "Disordered elastic systems and one-dimensional interfaces"
- **Dynamics** T. Giamarchi, A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, Lecture Notes in Physics <u>688</u>, 91 (2006) [arXiv:0503437], "Dynamics of disordered elastic systems"

K. J. Wiese, Reports on Progress in Physics <u>85</u>, 086502 (2022), "Theory and experiments for disordered elastic manifolds, depinning, avalanches, and sandpiles"

D. S. Fisher, *Physics Reports* <u>301</u>, 113 (1998), "Collective transport in random media: from superconductors to earthquakes"

G. Blatter, M.V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, V. M. Vinokur, Reviews of Modern Physics 66, 1125 (1994), "Vortices in high-temperature superconductors"

Very recent extended review

DES

Statics

Lectures (1998)

"Canonical review"